

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/871,118	DELUCIA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jessica L. Rossi	1733

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Jessica L. Rossi.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Petersen.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 July 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

3

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant agreed to cancel claims 6-10; note independent claim 6, unlike independent claims 1, 5, 24, and 27 does not state that the second layer comprises a film. The examiner pointed out that claim 6 does not exclude that taught by Sexsmith (3180775), Russell (US 3214323) or Kurihara (US 5840633) where a composite material that is permeable to viscous fluids is made by bonding a first porous nonwoven fibrous web to a second porous nonwoven fibrous web (note both webs are porous and therefore inherently have openings) and shrinking the second web relative to the first web - note all three references teach the first and second webs having different shrinkage extents such that upon shrinking of the second web, portions of the first web, which shrinks to a lesser extent than the second web or not at all, that are not bonded to the second web puff up and extend away from the plane of the second web thereby improving the loft and bulk of the composite.